Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,216	ARAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Anitza M. San Miguel	3733	
All Participants:	Status of Application	:	
(1) <u>Anitza M. San Miguel</u> .	(3) <u>Paul J. Maginot</u> .	(3) <u>Paul J. Maginot</u> .	
(2) Eduardo C. Robert.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 7 November 2006	Time: <u>1:30 p.m.</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:		į	
Claims discussed: Election/Restriction for claims 1-35 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner requested an election/restriction for the aforem prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-21 and 30-30	entioned application. A provisional e		
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview	
Ju -			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representation	ve Signature – if appropriate)	